

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE  
VILLAGE OF AVON PLANNING BOARD  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 17, 2013  
7:00 PM; VILLAGE HALL, WHITNEY ROOM**

**I. ATTENDANCE**

Malcolm D. LaFever Chairman  
Paul M. Drozdzziel  
Patrick McCormick  
Kevin O'Donoghue  
William Wall

**ABSENT**

Laura Nobles  
Bonnie Taylor-Davis

**QUORUM, 5 Present, 2 Absent**

Anthony Cappello, Code Enforcement Officer

**II. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES**

**MOTION:** McCormick moved for approval of the August 21, 2013, minutes, seconded by O'Donoghue. Voting in favor were: LaFever, Drozdzziel, McCormick, O'Donoghue and Wall. Voting against were: none.

**CARRIED, 5 Ayes, 0 Nays**

**III. OLD BUSINESS**

**A. Signs**

The Board was considering requiring applicants to post the property on which they'd proposed an action, giving the public an opportunity to contact the Village Office for details.

O'Donoghue had a picture of a generic sign from the Town of Pittsford. The sign was a 2-sided, 24-inch X 36-inch skin laid over a wooden standard. Pittsford had purchased the signs from Phoenix Graphics of 1525 Emerson Street in Rochester for \$2.97 apiece then had their Department of Public Works (DPW) lay the skin over a wooden standard made in-house and post it.

The Town of Pittsford had obtained quotes from Best Business Products of East Rochester, Millstone Graphics of Fairport and Rapid Printing of Victor as well. Other types of signs considered included the corrugated plastic signs on wire legs used in political campaigns.

O'Donoghue suggested Avon require posting signs when an application involved a public hearing such as preliminary site plans, subdivisions, rezoning requests and variance applications.

The Board suggested recommending the Village Board add the sign posting requirement to the Village Code with a \$5.00 or \$10.00 surcharge to the applicant to cover the sign's cost and that return of the sign be included in the legislation.

**B. Allowing Administrative Lot Line Adjustments**

O'Donoghue suggested also the Village Board amend the Village Code to allow administrative lot line adjustments without a public hearing.

Mary Julia D'Angelo of 255 North Avenue had applied for a subdivision December 5, 2012. Her late husband, Samuel D'Angelo had owned the former Avon Macaroni Plant at 234 Rochester Street.

The Macaroni Plant property was contiguous to D'Angelo's residence. D'Angelo had wanted to subdivide the macaroni factory's 1.8 acre lot leaving .4-acre for the plant and a second parcel 1.4 acres, which was hilly and wooded, but otherwise vacant; she planned to hang on to the latter.

But, the Board felt the .4 acre macaroni factory parcel didn't meet the Village's minimum 100-foot X 200-foot, or 20,000-square-feet,lot size. The Board also felt the second lot, the 1.4 acre hilly and wooded parcel, would be land-locked despite its having a narrow r-o-w access along the north side of the macaroni factory.

Ultimately, D'Angelo agreed to enlarge the macaroni factory parcel to meet the Village's minimum lot size requirement and to attach the second lot, the 1.4-acre parcel to her 255 North Avenue residence.

That was the sort of subdivision the Board felt Village Code should allow as an **administrative lot line adjustment** without a public hearing. A Village Board residence wasn't in attendance, but the Board hoped the Village Board would follow-up on its recommendation and amend the Village Code accordingly on administrative lot line adjustments.

C. FYI - Macaroni Factory Sale

D'Angelo had sold the former Avon Macaroni Plant at 234 Rochester Street to Richard Steen of 5957 South Lima Road, Avon, according to Cappello, and, he added, a tenant had moved into the garage at the southwest corner of the building.

The property was in an R-1 One Family Residential District and had been vacant for a year – meaning it had lost its non-conforming use status and should revert to R-1 – Cappello noted.

**IV. NEW BUSINESS**

Nothing pending, nothing proposed.

**V. ADJOURNMENT**

The Board adjourned at 8:07 PM.

Gary Margiotta  
Deputy Clerk